

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,997	KATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry N. Tran	2629	

All Participants:

(1) Exr. Henry N. Tran (PTO).

(2) Atty. Dana Tangren (Reg. No. 37,246).

Date of Interview: 28 February 2007

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:30

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 112, 2nd indefiniteness and 35 USC 101, non statutoy subject matter.

Claims discussed:
1 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Henry N. Tran 2/28/07

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed that an examiner's amendment is made for overcome: (i) a claim objection due to an editorial error in the base claim 1; (ii) claim rejections under 35 USC § 112, 2nd indefiniteness, and 35 USC § 101, non-statutory subject matter of the base claims 1 and 6, for placing the application in condition for allowance.

HT
2/28/2007